# Cocaine and $3\beta$ -(4'-Substituted phenyl)tropane- $2\beta$ -carboxylic Acid Ester and Amide Analogues. New High-Affinity and Selective Compounds for the **Dopamine Transporter**

F. Ivy Carroll,\*,<sup>†</sup> Pravin Kotian,<sup>†</sup> Ali Dehghani,<sup>†</sup> Jeffrey L. Gray,<sup>†</sup> Michael A. Kuzemko,<sup>†</sup> Karol A. Parham,<sup>†</sup> Philip Abraham,<sup>†</sup> Anita H. Lewin,<sup>†</sup> John W. Boja,<sup>†</sup> and Michael J. Kuhar<sup>‡</sup>

Chemistry and Life Sciences, Research Triangle Institute, P.O. Box 12194, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina 27709, and Neuroscience Branch, NIDA Addiction Research Center, P.O. Box 5180, Baltimore, Maryland 21224

Received August 29, 1994<sup>®</sup>

Several  $2\beta$ -carboxylic acid ester and amide analogues of cocaine and of  $3\beta$ -(4'-substituted phenyl)tropane- $2\beta$ -carboxylic acid were prepared. The binding affinities of these compounds, and of some previously prepared analogues, at the dopamine (DA), norepinephrine (NE), and serotonin (5-HT) transporters were determined. The phenyl esters of  $3\beta$ -(4'-methylphenyl)and  $3\beta$ -(4'-chlorophenyl)tropane- $2\beta$ -carboxylic acid are highly potent and highly selective for the DA transporter. The isopropyl esters of  $3\beta$ -(4'-chlorophenyl)- and  $3\beta$ -(4'-iodophenyl)tropane- $2\beta$ -carboxylic acid also possess high DA affinity and show significant DA transporter selectivity. Similarly, the phenyl and isopropyl ester analogues of cocaine are much more selective for the DA transporter than cocaine. Tertiary amide analogues of cocaine and of  $3\beta$ -(4'-substituted phenyl)tropane- $2\beta$ -carboxylic acids are more potent inhibitors of radioligand binding at the DA transporter than the primary and secondary amide analogues. In particular,  $3\beta$ -(4'chlorophenyl)tropane- $2\beta$ -N-morpholinocarboxamide as well as the  $3\beta$ -(4'-chlorophenyl)- and  $3\beta$ -(4'-iodophenyl)tropane- $2\beta$ -N-pyrrolidinocarboxamides possess high affinity and selectivity for the DA transporter. The N.N-dimethylamide cocaine analogue is the most selective cocaine amide derivative for the DA transporter. High correlation between the inhibition of radioligand binding and inhibition of uptake at the DA, NE, and 5-HT transporter was found for a selected group of analogues. Within this group, one compound, the isopropyl ester of  $3\beta$ -(4'-iodophenyl)tropane- $2\beta$ -carboxylic acid, was found to be more potent in the inhibition of radioligand binding than in the inhibition of DA uptake. Taken together with its high potency and selectivity at the DA transporter, this suggests that this compound may be a lead in the development of a cocaine antagonist.

## Introduction

Several lines of evidence suggest that the reinforcing and locomotor properties of cocaine (1a) are related to its ability to inhibit dopamine (DA) reuptake by binding to a specific site on the dopamine transporter.<sup>1-7</sup> The putative role of this site in addiction has prompted investigations of structure-activity relationships for binding at the DA transporter. Studies from our laboratory, as well as from other laboratories, have addressed the structural features of cocaine (1a), and of  $3\beta$ -phenyltropane- $2\beta$ -carboxylic acid methyl ester (2a), required for binding to the dopamine transporter. Specifically, it was found that the overall molecular configuration, the nature of the  $2\beta$ -substituent, an aromatic ring at the 3 position, the tropane nitrogen, and the N-substituent are important in recognition site interactions.<sup>8-19</sup> For analogues of **2a**, we, and others, have reported that binding affinities for the dopamine transporter were highly dependent upon the substituent on the  $3\beta$ -phenyl ring.<sup>9,13,14,19</sup> For example, the 4'methyl- (2b), 4'-chloro- (2c), 4'-iodo- (2d), 4'-bromo- (2e), 4'-amino-3'-iodo- (2f), 3',4'-dichloro- (2g), and 4'-chloro-3'-methyl- (2h) analogues were 13-29 times more potent than 2a. In contrast, we discovered that replacement of the methyl group in the  $2\beta$ -carbomethoxy

functionality of cocaine (1a) with other groups to give cocaine analogues 1b-i had only small effects on potency at the DA transporter.<sup>10</sup>

Cocaine (1a), 2a, and 2i had also been shown to inhibit the uptake of norepinephrine (NE) and serotonin (5-HT), as well as DA, into presynaptic terminals.<sup>12,20,21</sup> Indeed, a modulatory role has been ascribed to the inhibition of 5-HT uptake.<sup>22</sup> Investigation of the contributions of the various neurotransmitters to the neurochemical mode of action of cocaine is hampered by the unavailability of transporter-selective cocaine and 2a analogues. Such analogues would be valuable for the study of pharmacological and behavioral effects associated with transporter-specific binding and could lead to therapeutic agents useful in the treatment of cocaine abuse. In this study, we report the binding potency of previously prepared compounds 1a-i and 2a-i at the norepinephrine and serotonin transporters and present the syntheses and binding affinities at the DA, NE, and 5-HT transporters of  $3\beta$ -(4'-substituted phenyl)tropane- $2\beta$ -carboxylic esters and amides  $4\mathbf{a}-\mathbf{y}$  and  $5\mathbf{a}-\mathbf{t}$ , respectively, as well as data for the new cocaine amide analogues **6a-c** and for the previously reported cocaine N-methylamide (6d).<sup>10</sup> Some of the analogues possess high affinity and selectivity for the cocaine binding site on the DA transporter as well as for inhibition of dopamine uptake into synaptosomes. Parts of these studies have been described in preliminary communications.23-25

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup> Research Triangle Institute.

 <sup>\*</sup> National Institute on Drug Abuse.
 \* Abstract published in Advance ACS Abstracts, December 15, 1994.





Scheme 2



### Results

**Chemistry.** Scheme 1 outlines the general synthetic approach used to prepare the ester and amide analogues of  $3\beta$ -(4'-substituted phenyl)tropane- $2\beta$ -carboxylic acids. Hydrolysis of 2a-d in aqueous dioxane gives the corresponding acids 3a-d. Treatment of 3a-d with oxalyl chloride gives the acid chlorides which were converted to the esters and amides, 4 and 5, respectively, by treatment with the appropriate alcohol, phenol, amine, aniline, or ammonia. Amide analogue **5d** was prepared by treating a THF solution of **5a** with 37% aqueous formaldehyde in the presence of potassium carbonate. The cocaine carboxamide analogues 6a-cwere prepared by treating benzoylecgonine  $(7)^{24-26}$  with oxalyl chloride in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> to give the corresponding acid chloride, followed by reaction of the acid chloride with ammonium hydroxide or the appropriate amine (Scheme 2). The structures and physical properties of the ester and amide analogues are shown in Tables 1 and 2, respectively. The  $3\beta$ -(substituted phenyl)tropane- $2\beta$ carboxylic acid methyl esters (2a-i), the cocaine ester analogues (1b-i), and the cocaine N-methylamide analogue (6d) were prepared as previously reported.<sup>9,10,13,27</sup>

**Biochemical.** Binding affinities at the DA, NE, and 5-HT transporters represent inhibition of 0.5 nM [<sup>3</sup>H]-WIN 35,428, 0.5 nM [<sup>3</sup>H]nisoxetine, and 0.2 nM [<sup>3</sup>H]-paroxetine binding, respectively, determined as described previously.<sup>28</sup> The IC<sub>50</sub> values for the ester and amide analogues of cocaine (1a), and of  $3\beta$ -(4'-substituted phenyl)tropane- $2\beta$ -carboxylic acid,  $4\mathbf{a}-\mathbf{y}, 5\mathbf{a}-\mathbf{t}$ , and  $6\mathbf{a}-\mathbf{d}$ , and for several previously reported  $3\beta$ -(substituted phenyl)tropane- $2\beta$ -carboxylic acid esters and cocaine ester analogues are listed in Tables 3-6.

Inhibition of [ ${}^{3}$ H]DA, [ ${}^{3}$ H]NE, and [ ${}^{3}$ H]5-HT uptake into synaptosomes prepared from rat striatum, midbrain, and frontal cortex, respectively, were measured as described in the experimental section, and the  $K_{i}$  values for several of the ligands were calculated using the Cheng and Prusoff equation<sup>29</sup> and are listed in Table 7.

# Discussion

Clarke et al.<sup>30</sup> reported the syntheses of **2a** and **2**i and showed them to be inhibitors of NE reuptake. We have previously described the synthesis of cocaine analogues 2b-h and reported the potencies of 2a-i at the cocaine binding site on the DA transporter.<sup>9,13,14</sup> We now report the binding affinity of the same compounds at the NE and 5-HT transporters (Table 3). With the exception of the effect of substitution on the 4'-amino-3'-iodophenyl analogue 2f at the NE transporter, the addition of substituents to 2a serves to enhance potency at all three transporters. The unsubstituted and pfluoro analogues 2a and 2i possess IC<sub>50</sub> values of 23 and 13.9 nM, respectively, for the DA transporter. The  $3\beta$ -(4'-substituted phenyl) analogues 2b-h are 14-30 times more potent at the DA transporter than 2a, with analogues 2c, 2g, and 2h showing the highest affinities. The 4'-iodo analogue **2d** and the 3',4'-dichloro analogue 2g also possess high affinities for the 5-HT transporter with  $IC_{50}$  values of 4.21 and 3.13 nM, respectively. Although the analogues 2a-i show increased affinity for the NE transporter relative to cocaine (1a), none are highly potent, and all have NE/DA and/or 5-HT/DA ratios similar to those for cocaine (1a), which is not selective for the DA transporter. The most selective compound is 2f with 984- and 89-fold selectivities for the DA transporter relative to the NE and 5-HT transporters. The 4'-methyl analogue 2b with a 5-HT/ DA ratio of 140 possesses the highest selectivity for the DA transporter relative to the 5-HT transporter.

We had previously reported that the effect of replacement of the methyl group in the 2-position ester of cocaine with an ethyl, propyl, isopropyl, phenyl, benzyl, phenylethyl, phenylpropyl, or *trans*-cinnamyl group (compounds lb-i in Table 4) resulted in only small decreases (1.3-4-fold) in potency at the dopamine transporter. Determination of the effects on binding at the NE and 5-HT transporters show two of the analogues, the isopropyl and phenyl esters 1d and 1e, to be reasonably selective for the DA transporter. Thus, relative to cocaine (1a), these analogues exhibit 9.2- and 9.4-fold decreases in potency at the NE transporter and 24- and 32-fold decreases in potency at the 5-HT Table 1. Physical Properties of  $3\beta$ -(4'-Substituted phenyl)tropane- $2\beta$ -carboxylic Acid Esters



|                        | compd          | X      | R                                 | molecular formula <sup>a</sup>                                 | % yield | mp, °C    | $[\alpha]_{D}$ , deg (c, CH <sub>3</sub> OH) |
|------------------------|----------------|--------|-----------------------------------|--|---------|-----------|--|
| <b>4a</b> <sup>b</sup> |                | Н      | CH(CH <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> | C <sub>18</sub> H <sub>26</sub> ClNO <sub>2</sub>              | 61      | 236-237   | -103.7 (1.08)                                |
| <b>4b</b>              | RTI-134        | н      | $C_6H_5$                          | $C_{25}H_{27}NO_6$   | 43      | 199 - 200 | -119.4 (0.65)                                |
| <b>4</b> c             | RTI-117        | $CH_3$ | $CH(CH_3)_2$                      | $C_{19}H_{28}ClNO_2^c$   | 65      | 238 dec   | -99.3 (0.983)                                |
| <b>4d</b>              | RTI-127        | $CH_3$ | $CH(C_2H_5)_2$                    | $\mathrm{C}_{21}\mathrm{H}_{32}\mathrm{ClNO}_2^d$              | 90      | 188 - 192 | -77.6 (1.06)                                 |
| <b>4e</b>              | RTI-149        | $CH_3$ | $c-C_5H_{11}$                     | $C_{21}H_{30}ClNO_2^c$   | 62      | 203 - 205 | -75.4(1.09)                                  |
| <b>4f</b>              | RTI-150        | $CH_3$ | $c-C_4H_9$                        | $C_{20}H_{28}ClNO_2^c$   | 57      | 201 - 202 | -80.6 (1.35)                                 |
| 4g                     | RTI-193        | $CH_3$ | $c-C_3H_5$                        | $C_{19}H_{26}ClNO_2^c$   | 8       | 174 - 176 | -68.75(0.24)                                 |
| 4h                     | RTI-120        | $CH_3$ | $C_6H_5$                          | $C_{22}H_{26}ClNO_2$   | 81      | 234 - 235 | -158.6(0.49)                                 |
| <b>4i</b>              | RTI-205        | $CH_3$ | $3-CH_3C_6H_4$                    | $C_{23}H_{28}ClNO_2^e$   | 79      | 191 - 192 | -154.4(0.16)                                 |
| 4j                     | <b>RTI-209</b> | $CH_3$ | $4-CH_3C_6H_4$                    | $C_{23}H_{28}ClNO_2^f$   | 75      | 229 - 231 | -163(0.27)                                   |
| <b>4k</b>              | RTI-207        | $CH_3$ | $2-CH_3C_6H_4$                    | $C_{23}H_{28}ClNO_2^{f}$                                       | 79      | 166 - 168 | -162(0.15)                                   |
| 41                     | RTI-211        | $CH_3$ | $4-ClC_6H_4$                      | $\mathrm{C}_{22}\mathrm{H}_{25}\mathrm{Cl}_2\mathrm{NO}_2^c$   | 69      | 228 - 229 | -172(0.15)                                   |
| <b>4m</b>              | RTI-213        | $CH_3$ | $4-CH_3OC_6H_4$                   | $C_{23}H_{28}ClNO_3$   | 68      | 228 - 230 | -165 (0.245)                                 |
| <b>4n</b>              | RTI-114        | Cl     | $CH(CH_3)_2$                      | $C_{18}H_{25}Cl_2NO_2$   | 38      | 248 - 249 | -90.1 (0.81)                                 |
| <b>4o</b>              | RTI-190        | Cl     | $c-C_3H_5$                        | $C_{18}H_{23}Cl_2NO_2^{g}$                                     | 16      | 241 - 242 | -65.5 (0.53)                                 |
| 4p                     | RTI-113        | Cl     | $C_6H_5$                          | $C_{21}H_{23}Cl_2NO_2$   | 90      | 232 - 233 | -157.6 (1.015)                               |
| $4\overline{q}$        | RTI-116        | Cl     | $4-IC_6H_4$                       | $C_{21}H_{22}Cl_2INO_2^c$                                      | 45      | 218 - 221 | -158.2(1.582)                                |
| 4r                     | RTI-203        | Cl     | $3-CH_3C_6H_4$                    | $\mathrm{C}_{22}\mathrm{H}_{25}\mathrm{Cl}_2\mathrm{NO}_2^f$   | 68      | 217 - 218 | -154.6(0.15)                                 |
| 4s                     | RTI-206        | Cl     | $4-CH_3C_6H_4$                    | $\mathrm{C}_{22}\mathrm{H}_{25}\mathrm{Cl}_2\mathrm{NO}_2{}^h$ | 71      | 227 - 228 | -168.7(0.15)                                 |
| <b>4</b> t             | <b>RTI-204</b> | Cl     | $2-CH_3C_6H_4$                    | $\mathrm{C}_{22}\mathrm{H}_{25}\mathrm{Cl}_2\mathrm{NO}_2^f$   | 74      | 193 - 194 | -147.2(0.175)                                |
| <b>4u</b>              | RTI-212        | Cl     | $4-ClC_6H_4$                      | $C_{21}H_{22}Cl_3NO_2$   | 69      | 210 - 212 | -162(0.215)                                  |
| 4v                     | RTI-210        | Cl     | $4-CH_3OC_6H_4$                   | $C_{22}H_{25}Cl_2NO_3$   | 76      | 191-193   | -138 (0.195)                                 |
| <b>4</b> w             | RTI-121        | I      | $CH(CH_3)_2$                      | $C_{18}H_{25}CIINO_2$  | 63      | 250 - 251 | -86.4(0.235)                                 |
| 4 <b>x</b>             | RTI-191        | I      | $c-C_3H_5$                        | $\mathrm{C_{18}H_{23}ClINO_{2}^{e}}$                           | 8       | 242 - 244 | -49.6(0.25)                                  |
| <b>4y</b>              | RTI-122        | I      | $C_6H_5$                          | $C_{21}H_{23}ClNO_2^e$   | 81      | 254 - 255 | -135.8 (0.985)                               |

<sup>a</sup> All compounds exhibit <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectral data in agreement with assigned structures. All the compounds were characterized and tested as their hydrochloride salts with the exception of **4b**, which was characterized and tested as the fumarate salt. All salts with the exception of **4d**, **4e**, **4f**, **4h**, and **4x** were recrystallized from a methanol and ethyl ether mixture. Compound **4d** was recrystallized from a methylene chloride and hexane mixture. Compounds **4e**, **4f**, and **4g** were recrystallized from a 2-propanol and ethyl ether mixture. Compound **4h** was recrystallized from a chloroform and ethyl ether mixture. Compound **4x** was recrystallized from a methylene chloride and acetone mixture. Elemental analyses were within  $\pm 0.4\%$  of the theoretical values for C, H, N, and Cl. <sup>b</sup> Reference 30, mp 244-245 °C dec;  $[\alpha]^{25}_D = -105.4^{\circ}$  (1%, H<sub>2</sub>O). <sup>c</sup> This salt was hydrated with 0.5 mol of water. <sup>d</sup> This salt was crystallized with 0.5 mol of water. <sup>d</sup> This salt is hydrated with 0.25 mol of water. <sup>h</sup> This salt is hydrated with 0.25 mol of water. <sup>h</sup> This salt is hydrated with 1.5 mol of water.

transporter, respectively, resulting in NE/DA ratios of 138 and 277 and 5-HT/DA ratios of 115 and 301 for 1d and 1e, respectively. Somewhat surprisingly, the benzyl, phenylethyl, phenylpropyl, and cinnamyl esters 1f-i show increased potency (1.7-3.5-fold) at the 5-HT transporter relative to cocaine (1a).

The increased selectivity for the DA transporter observed for 1d and 1e relative to cocaine (1a) suggested that changing the methyl of the ester group of **2a** to an isopropyl or phenyl group might similarly provide DAselective compounds in this series of cocaine analogues. Indeed, we found that both the isopropyl and phenyl esters 4a and 4b, respectively, showed increased selectivity for the DA transporter relative to 2a or cocaine (Table 5). Unfortunately, the binding affinities of 4a and 4b at the DA transporter decreased relative to 2a. Since compound **2b** has greater affinity for the DA transporter than 2a and is reasonably selective for the DA transporter relative to the 5-HT transporter, the isopropyl (4c) and phenyl (4h) ester analogues of 2b were prepared and evaluated.  $3\beta$ -(4'-Methylphenyl)tropane- $2\beta$ -carboxylic acid phenyl ester (4h) with an IC<sub>50</sub> of 3.26 nM for the DA transporter and NE/DA and 5-HT/DA ratios of 1789 and 7406, respectively, is the most DA transporter-selective analogue of 2a found (Table 5). The analogous isopropyl ester 4c with NE/ DA and 5-HT/DA ratios of 299 and 944, respectively,

and an IC<sub>50</sub> value of 6.45 nM also possesses reasonable selectivity with only slightly lower affinity than **4h** at the DA transporter. Changing the isopropyl group of 4c to the larger 3'-pentyl or cyclopentyl groups to give the esters 4d and 4e, respectively, results in loss of affinity for the DA transporter. In contrast, the cyclobutyl and cyclopropyl esters, 4f and 4g, respectively, both show greater potency at the DA transporter than 4c, with 4g having an  $IC_{50}$  value (1.68 nM) equal to that of the methyl ester 2b. Moreover, with NE/DA and 5-HT/DA ratios of 383 and 634, compound 4g is relatively selective for the DA transporter. The addition of 4-methyl, 2-methyl, 4-chloro, or 4-methoxy substituents to the phenyl of the ester group (4j-m, respectively)results in substantially lower potency at the DA transporter, while the 3-methylphenyl analogue 4i is a little over 2-fold less potent than **4h**. None of the substituted phenyl analogues in the series 4i-m show DA transporter selectivity equal to or greater than 4h.

As in the  $3\beta$ -(4'-methylphenyl) series, the isopropyl (**4n** and **4w**), cyclopropyl (**4o** and **4x**), and phenyl (**4p** and **4y**) esters of  $3\beta$ -(4'-chlorophenyl)- and  $3\beta$ -(4'-io-dophenyl)tropane- $2\beta$ -carboxylic acids are similar in binding affinity at the DA transporter to their parent methyl esters **2c** and **2d**. In contrast, large decreases in potency for the NE (21-105-fold) and 5-HT (16-53-fold) transporters, relative to the parent methyl esters

| Table 2. | Physical Properties | of $3\beta$ -(4'-Substituted | l phenyl)tropane-2 | $\beta$ -carboxylic Amides | and Cocaine Analogues |
|----------|---------------------|------------------------------|--------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------|
|----------|---------------------|------------------------------|--------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------|



| -             |         |           |        |  |   |         |           |  |
|---------------|---------|-----------|--------|--|---|---------|-----------|--|
|               | compd   | structure | х      | R  | molecular formula <sup>a</sup>  | % yield | mp, °C    | $[\alpha]_{\mathbb{D}}, \deg(c, \operatorname{CH}_3\operatorname{OH})$ |
| 5a            | RTI-118 | В         | Cl     | CONH <sub>2</sub>  | $C_{15}H_{20}Cl_2N_2O^d$  | 25      | 309 dec   | -85.0 (0.14)   |
| 5b            | RTI-106 | В         | Cl     | CONHCH <sub>3</sub>  | C <sub>16</sub> H <sub>22</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub> N <sub>2</sub> O <sup>e</sup> | 37      | 138 dec   | -99.0 (0.16)   |
| <b>5</b> c    | RTI-196 | В         | Cl     | CONH(OCH <sub>3</sub> )  | $C_{16}H_{22}Cl_2N_2O_2$  | 58      | 234 - 235 | -63.1(0.14)  |
| 5d            | RTI-146 | В         | Cl     | CONH(CH <sub>2</sub> OH)   | $C_{16}H_{22}Cl_2N_2O_2^{f}$  | 93      | 209 dec   | -109 (0.10)  |
| <b>5</b> e    | RTI-129 | В         | Cl     | $CON(CH_3)_2$  | $C_{17}H_{24}Cl_2N_2O^d$  | 72      | 238 dec   | -85.2(0.12)  |
| 5f            | RTI-215 | В         | Cl     | $CON(C_2H_5)_2$  | $C_{19}H_{28}Cl_2N_2O^{f}$  | 65      | 110 - 112 | -46.5(0.17)  |
| 5g            | RTI-183 | В         | Cl     | CON(OCH <sub>3</sub> )CH <sub>3</sub>  | $C_{21}H_{27}ClN_2O_6$  | 98      | 76 dec    | -32.7(0.30)  |
| 5h            | RTI-147 | в         | Cl     | CONCH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> | $\mathrm{C_{19}H_{26}Cl_2N_2O^g}$   | 84      | 214 dec   | -66.0 (0.10)   |
| <b>5i</b>     | RTI-156 | В         | Cl     | $CON(CH_2)_4CH_2$  | $C_{20}H_{28}Cl_2N_2O^{f}$  | 96      | 154 dec   | -81.0 (0.10)   |
| 5j            | RTI-198 | В         | Cl     | CONCH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>2</sub>                                 | $\mathrm{C_{18}H_{24}Cl_2N_2O^g}$   | 78      | 197 - 201 | -78.2(0.13)  |
| $\mathbf{5k}$ | RTI-208 | В         | Cl     | CONOCH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>2</sub>                                | $\mathrm{C_{18}H_{24}Cl_2N_2O_2}^h$   | 63      | 154 dec   | -73.1 (0.14)   |
| 51            | RTI-214 | В         | Cl     | CONCH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> OCH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>2</sub>                | $C_{19}H_{26}Cl_2N_2O_2^{f}$  | 79      | 112 - 114 | -44.7(0.265)   |
| 5m            | RTI-226 | в         | Cl     | CON(CH <sub>3</sub> )C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>5</sub>                                 | $\mathrm{C}_{26}\mathrm{H}_{31}\mathrm{ClN}_2\mathrm{O}_7^d$                  | 97      | 105 - 110 | -30.8 (0.13)   |
| 5n            | RTI-133 | в         | $CH_3$ | $CONH_2$   | ${ m C_{20}H_{26}N_2O_5}^h$   | 82      | 211 dec   | -69.5(0.095)   |
| 50            | RTI-221 | в         | $CH_3$ | $CON(C_2H_5)_2$  | $C_{20}H_{31}ClN_2O^c$  | 83      | 97 - 100  | -53.6(0.28)  |
| 5p            | RTI-186 | В         | $CH_3$ | CON(OCH <sub>3</sub> )CH <sub>3</sub>  | $C_{22}H_{30}N_2O_6^f$  | 95      | 77 - 80   | -43.1(0.24)  |
| 5q            | RTI-222 | В         | $CH_3$ | CONCH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> OCH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>2</sub>                | $\mathrm{C}_{20}\mathrm{H}_{29}\mathrm{ClN}_2\mathrm{O}_2^d$                  | 90      | 107 - 110 | -50.4(0.32)  |
| 5r            | RTI-229 | В         | I      | CONCH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>2</sub>                 | $C_{23}H_{31}IN_2O_7$   | 50      | 115 dec   | -51.1 (0.11)   |
| 5s            | RTI-228 | В         | I      | CON(OCH <sub>3</sub> )CH <sub>3</sub>  | $C_{21}H_{29}IN_2O_8^{s}$   | 68      | 112 dec   | -25.9(0.11)  |
| 5t            | RTI-227 | В         | I      | CONOCH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>2</sub>                                | $C_{22}H_{29}IN_2O_8^d$   | 54      | 112 - 118 | -58.0 (0.10)   |
| 6a            | RTI-128 | Α         |        | CONH <sub>2</sub>  | $C_{16}H_{21}ClN_2O_3{}^b$  | 66      | 242 dec   | -24.0(0.10)  |
| 6b            | RTI-160 | Α         |        | $CON(CH_3)_2$  | $C_{18}H_{25}ClN_2O_3^{c}$  | 43      | 155 dec   | -5.0 (0.10)  |
| 6c            | RTI-192 | Α         |        | CON(OCH <sub>3</sub> )CH <sub>3</sub>  | $\mathrm{C_{18}H_{25}ClN_2O_4}^b$   | 56      | 221 - 224 | +33.2(0.28)  |

<sup>a</sup> All compounds exhibit <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectral data in agreement with assigned structures. All the compounds were characterized and tested as their hydrochloride salts with the exception of **5g**, **5n**, **5o**, and **5p**, which were characterized and tested as the fumarate salt, and **5m**, **5r**, **5s**, and **5t**, which were tested as tartrate salts. All salts were recrystallized from a methanol and ethyl ether mixture. Elemental analyses were within  $\pm 0.4\%$  of theoretical values for C, H, N, and Cl. <sup>b</sup> This salt was hydrated with 0.25 mol of water. <sup>c</sup> This salt was hydrated with 1.25 mol of water. <sup>d</sup> This salt is hydrated with 1.0 mol of water. <sup>e</sup> This salt was hydrated with 0.75 mol of water. <sup>f</sup> This salt is hydrated with 2.0 mol of water. <sup>h</sup> This salt is hydrated with 0.5 mol of water.

**Table 3.** Comparison of Transporter Binding Potencies for Previously Reported  $3\beta$ -(4-Substituted Phenyl)tropane- $2\beta$ -carboxylic Acid Methyl Esters



|                     |              |              |        |   | IC <sub>50</sub> (nM)                       |   |                          |                            |
|---------------------|--------------|--------------|--------|---|---|---|--------------------------|----------------------------|
|                     | compd        | х            | Y      | DA <sup>a,b</sup> [ <sup>3</sup> H]WIN 35,428 | NE <sup>a</sup> [ <sup>3</sup> H]nisoxetine | 5-HT <sup>a</sup> [ <sup>3</sup> H]paroxetine | NE/DA <sup>c</sup> ratio | 5-HT/DA <sup>c</sup> ratio |
| 2a                  | WIN 35,065-2 | н            | Н      | $23 \pm 5$                                    | $920 \pm 73$                                | $1962 \pm 61$                                 | 40                       | 85                         |
| 2i                  | WIN 35,428   | $\mathbf{F}$ | н      | $13.9 \pm 2.0$                                | $835 \pm 45$                                | $692 \pm 71$                                  | 60                       | 50                         |
| 2b                  | RTI-32       | $CH_3$       | н      | $1.71\pm0.31$                                 | $60 \pm 0.53$                               | $240 \pm 27$                                  | 35                       | 140                        |
| 2c                  | RTI-31       | Cl           | н      | $1.12 \pm 0.1$                                | $37\pm2.1$                                  | $44.5 \pm 1.3$                                | 33                       | 40                         |
| 2d                  | $RTI-55^d$   | I            | н      | $1.26\pm0.04$                                 | $36 \pm 2.7$                                | $4.21\pm0.30$                                 | 29                       | 3.3                        |
| 2e                  | RTI-51       | Br           | н      | $1.69\pm0.23$                                 | $37.4\pm5.2$                                | $10.6\pm0.24$                                 | 22                       | 6                          |
| 2f                  | RTI-88       | $\rm NH_2$   | Ι      | $1.35\pm0.11$                                 | $1329 \pm 124$                              | $120 \pm 4.4$                                 | 984                      | 89                         |
| 2g                  | RTI-111      | Cl           | Cl     | $0.79\pm0.09$                                 | $17.96\pm0.85$                              | $3.13\pm0.36$                                 | 67                       | 4                          |
| $2\bar{\mathbf{h}}$ | RTI-112      | Cl           | $CH_3$ | $0.81\pm0.05$                                 | $36.2\pm1.0$                                | $10.5\pm0.05$                                 | 45                       | 13                         |

<sup>a</sup> Data are mean  $\pm$  standard error of three or four experiments performed in triplicate. <sup>b</sup> The [<sup>3</sup>H]WIN 35,428 binding data are from ref 14. <sup>c</sup> NE/DA are 5-HT/DA are ratios of IC<sub>50</sub> values. <sup>d</sup> This compound has been named  $\beta$ -CIT by RBI.

**2c** and **2d**, are observed for the isopropyl esters **4n** and **4w** and the phenyl esters **4p** and **4y**.  $3\beta$ -(4'-Chlorophenyl)tropane- $2\beta$ -carboxylic acid phenyl ester (**4p**), with an IC<sub>50</sub> of 1.98 nM for the DA transporter and NE/DA and 5-HT/DA ratios of 1492 and 1179, is both highly potent and reasonably selective for the DA transporter. As parts of other earlier studies, we reported that  $[^{125}I]$ - $3\beta$ -(4'-iodophenyl)tropane- $2\beta$ -carboxylic acid methyl ester, which is the iodine-125 analogue of **2d** ([ $^{125}I]$ -**2d**), was a useful ligand for examining the DA and 5-HT transporters in vitro and in vivo. $^{31-33}$  Since these early reports, other researchers have reported the use of [ $^{125}I$ ]-

Table 4. Comparison of Transporter Binding Potencies for Cocaine and Ester Analogues



|               |               |  |   | $IC_{50}\left( nM ight)$                    |   |                          |                            |
|---------------|---------------|--|---|---|---|--------------------------|----------------------------|
|               | compd         | R  | DA <sup>a</sup> [ <sup>3</sup> H]WIN 35,428 | NE <sup>a</sup> [ <sup>3</sup> H]nisoxetine | 5-HT <sup>a</sup> [ <sup>3</sup> H]paroxetine | NE/DA <sup>b</sup> ratio | 5-HT/DA <sup>b</sup> ratio |
| 1 <b>a</b>    | cocaine       | CH <sub>3</sub>                                    | $89 \pm 4.8$                                | $3298 \pm 293$                              | $\frac{1045\pm89}{1045\pm89}$                 | 37                       | 12                         |
| $1\mathbf{b}$ | RTI-12        | $C_2H_5$   | $195\pm45$                                  | $10000 \pm 751$                             | $5801 \pm 493$                                | 51                       | 30                         |
| 1 <b>c</b>    | RTI-13        | $C_3H_7$   | $196 \pm 46$                                | $6124 \pm 262$                              | $4517 \pm 430$                                | 31                       | 23                         |
| 1 <b>d</b>    | RTI-14        | $CH(CH_3)_2$                                       | $219\pm48$                                  | $30384 \pm 1685$                            | $25224 \pm 1498$                              | 138                      | 115                        |
| 1 <b>e</b>    | RTI-15        | $C_6H_5$   | $112\pm31$                                  | $31024 \pm 1909$                            | $33666 \pm 3330$                              | 277                      | 301                        |
| 1 <b>f</b>    | RTI-52        | $CH_2C_6H_5$                                       | $257\pm14$                                  | $20794 \pm 950$                             | $302 \pm 23$                                  | 81                       | 1.2                        |
| 1 <b>g</b>    | RTI-53        | $(CH_2)_2C_6H_5$                                   | $181 \pm 10$                                | $19944 \pm 1026$                            | $615\pm52$                                    | 110                      | 3.4                        |
| 1Ď            | RTI-49        | $(CH_2)_3C_6H_5$                                   | $147\pm19$                                  | $4893 \pm 344$                              | $374\pm15$                                    | 33                       | 2.5                        |
| 1i            | <b>RTI-74</b> | CH <sub>2</sub> CH=CHC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>5</sub> | $371 \pm 15$                                | $68931 \pm 3476$                            | $368 \pm 6.3$                                 | 186                      | 1                          |

<sup>a</sup> Data are mean  $\pm$  standard error of three or four experiments performed in triplicate. <sup>b</sup> NE/DA are 5-HT/DA are ratios of IC<sub>50</sub> values.

Table 5. Comparison of Transporter Binding Potencies for  $3\beta$ -(4'-Substituted phenyl)tropane- $2\beta$ -carboxylic Acid Esters 4a-y



|           |                |        |                                   |   | $IC_{50}\left( nM ight)$                    |   |                 |                            |
|-----------|----------------|--------|-----------------------------------|---|---|---|-----------------|----------------------------|
|           | compd          | х      | R                                 | DA <sup>a</sup> [ <sup>3</sup> H]WIN 35,428 | NE <sup>a</sup> [ <sup>3</sup> H]nisoxetine | 5-HT <sup>a</sup> [ <sup>3</sup> H]paroxetine | $NE/DA^b$ ratio | 5-HT/DA <sup>b</sup> ratio |
| 4a        | RTI-135        | Н      | CH(CH <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> | $85.1 \pm 2.5$                              | $32047 \pm 1491$                            | $23121 \pm 3976$                              | 377             | 272                        |
| <b>4b</b> | RTI-134        | н      | $C_6H_5$                          | $76.7\pm3.6$                                | $19262\pm593$                               | $106149 \pm 7256$                             | 251             | 1384                       |
| <b>4c</b> | RTI-117        | $CH_3$ | $CH(CH_3)_2$                      | $6.45\pm0.85$                               | $1926\pm38$                                 | $6090 \pm 488$                                | 299             | 944                        |
| <b>4d</b> | RTI-127        | $CH_3$ | $CH(C_2H_5)_2$                    | $19.1 \pm 1$                                | $3444 \pm 44$                               | $4499 \pm 557$                                | 180             | 236                        |
| 4e        | RTI-149        | $CH_3$ | $c-C_5H_9$                        | $17.8\pm0.76$                               | $2628 \pm 252$                              | $485\pm21$                                    | 148             | 27                         |
| 4f        | RTI-150        | $CH_3$ | $c-C_4H_7$                        | $3.74 \pm 0.52$                             | $4738 \pm 322$                              | $2019 \pm 133$                                | 1267            | 540                        |
| 4g        | RTI-193        | $CH_3$ | $c-C_3H_5$                        | $1.68\pm0.14$                               | $644\pm28$                                  | $1066 \pm 109$                                | 383             | 634                        |
| 4ħ        | RTI-120        | $CH_3$ | $C_6H_5$                          | $3.26\pm0.06$                               | $5833 \pm 373$                              | $24471 \pm 1515$                              | 1789            | 7406                       |
| <b>4i</b> | RTI-205        | $CH_3$ | $3-CH_3C_6H_4$                    | $8.19\pm0.90$                               | $2136 \pm 208$                              | $5237 \pm 453$                                | 261             | 639                        |
| 4j        | RTI-209        | $CH_3$ | $4-CH_3C_6H_4$                    | $81.2 \pm 16$                               | $4096 \pm 121$                              | $15954 \pm 1614$                              | 50              | 197                        |
| 4k        | RTI-207        | $CH_3$ | $2-CH_3C_6H_4$                    | $23.2\pm0.97$                               | $25695 \pm 1394$                            | $11040\pm504$                                 | 1107            | 476                        |
| 41        | RTI-211        | $CH_3$ | $4-ClC_6H_4$                      | $117 \pm 7.9$                               | $9519\pm864$                                | $42761 \pm 2399$                              | 81              | 366                        |
| 4m        | RTI-213        | $CH_3$ | $4-CH_3OC_6H_4$                   | $95.6\pm8.8$                                | $3151 \pm 282$                              | $82316\pm7852$                                | 33              | 861                        |
| 4n        | RTI-114        | Cl     | $CH(CH_3)_2$                      | $1.40\pm0.13$                               | $778 \pm 21$                                | $1404 \pm 7$                                  | 556             | 1002                       |
| 40        | RTI-190        | Cl     | c-C <sub>3</sub> H <sub>5</sub>   | $0.96\pm0.10$                               | $235\pm8.39$                                | $168 \pm 1.8$                                 | 245             | 175                        |
| 4p        | RTI-113        | Cl     | $C_6H_5$                          | $1.98\pm0.05$                               | $2955 \pm 223$                              | $2336 \pm 176$                                | 1492            | 1179                       |
| 4q        | RTI-116        | Cl     | $4 - IC_6H_4$                     | $32.6\pm3.9$                                | $967.6\pm26.3$                              | $1227 \pm 176$                                | 30              | 38                         |
| 4r        | RTI-203        | Cl     | $3-CH_3C_6H_4$                    | $9.37 \pm 0.52$                             | $2744 \pm 140$                              | $2153 \pm 143$                                | 293             | 230                        |
| <b>4s</b> | RTI-206        | Cl     | $4-CH_3C_6H_4$                    | $27.4 \pm 1.5$                              | $1277 \pm 118$                              | $1203 \pm 42$                                 | 45              | 44                         |
| 4t        | <b>RTI-204</b> | Cl     | $2 - CH_3C_6H_4$                  | $3.91\pm0.23$                               | $4783 \pm 487$                              | $3772 \pm 384$                                | 1223            | 965                        |
| 4u        | RTI-212        | Cl     | $4-ClC_6H_4$                      | $55 \pm 2.3$                                | $4883 \pm 288$                              | $16914 \pm 1056$                              | 89              | 308                        |
| 4v        | RTI-210        | Cl     | $4-CH_3OC_6H_4$                   | $71 \pm 5.6$                                | $1522\pm94$                                 | $19689 \pm 1843$                              | 21              | 277                        |
| 4w        | RTI-121        | I      | $CH(CH_3)_2$                      | $0.43 \pm 0.05$                             | $285\pm7.6$                                 | $66.8 \pm 6.53$                               | 662             | 155                        |
| <b>4x</b> | RTI-191        | I      | c-C <sub>3</sub> H <sub>5</sub>   | $0.61\pm0.08$                               | $102 \pm 11$                                | $15.5\pm0.72$                                 | 167             | 25                         |
| <b>4y</b> | RTI-122        | I      | $C_6H_5$                          | $1.50\pm0.35$                               | $3791 \pm 149$                              | $184\pm22$                                    | 2577            | 123                        |

<sup>a</sup> Data are mean  $\pm$  standard error of three or four experiments performed in triplicate. <sup>b</sup> NE/DA are 5-HT/DA are ratios of IC<sub>50</sub> values.

2d for studies of the DA and 5-HT transporters.<sup>34,35</sup> We also showed that [<sup>123</sup>I]-2d was a highly useful single photon emission computed tomography (SPECT) ligand for noninvasive study of DA and 5-HT transporters in brain.<sup>36</sup> Innis and co-workers, and other researchers, have conducted clinical studies which show that [<sup>123</sup>I]-2d is a promising SPECT ligand for early diagnosis and treatment monitoring of Parkinsons disease patents.<sup>37-44</sup> However, 2d is not very selective for the DA transporter, showing NE/DA and 5-HT/DA ratios of 29 and 3.3, respectively (Table 3). The isopropyl ester (4w) analogue of 2d shows much higher selectivity for the DA

transporter with NE/DA and 5-HT/DA ratios of 662 and 155, respectively. Recently, we and others reported that  $[^{125}I]$ -4w and  $[^{123}I]$ -4w were more selective radioligands for the DA transporter.<sup>39-41</sup>

In order to gain additional information concerning the effect of the  $2\beta$ -substituent on binding affinity at monoamine transporters, we synthesized several  $3\beta$ -(substituted phenyl)- $2\beta$ -carboxamides and cocaine amide analogues and evaluated their binding affinities at the DA, NE, and 5-HT transporters. In earlier studies, we reported that replacement of the  $2\beta$ -carbomethoxy group of cocaine with an N-methylcarboxamido group (com-

Table 6. Comparison of Binding Potencies for  $3\beta$ -(4'-Substituted phenyl)tropane- $2\beta$ -carboxylic Amides and Cocaine Amide Analogues



|            |                |           |        |   |   | $IC_{50}\left( nM ight)$                    |                                       | NE/DA <sup>b</sup> | 5-HT/DA <sup>b</sup> |
|------------|----------------|-----------|--------|---|---|---|---------------------------------------|--------------------|----------------------|
|            | compd          | structure | х      | R   | DA <sup>a</sup> [ <sup>3</sup> H]WIN 35,428 | NE <sup>a</sup> [ <sup>3</sup> H]nisoxetine | 5-HTº [ <sup>3</sup> H]paroxetine     | ratio              | ratio                |
| 5a         | RTI-118        | В         | Cl     | CONH <sub>2</sub>   | $11.5 \pm 1.6$                              | $4270\pm359$                                | $1621 \pm 110$                        | 371                | 141                  |
| 5b         | RTI-106        | в         | Cl     | CONHCH <sub>3</sub>   | $12.4 \pm 1.17$                             | $1584 \pm 62$                               | $1313 \pm 46$                         | 128                | 106                  |
| <b>5</b> c | RTI-196        | В         | Cl     | CONH(OCH <sub>3</sub> )   | $10.7\pm1.25$                               | $9907 \pm 632$                              | $43700 \pm 1960$                      | 826                | 4084                 |
| 5d         | RTI-146        | В         | Cl     | CONH(CH <sub>2</sub> OH)  | $2.05\pm0.23$                               | $144 \pm 3$                                 | $97.8\pm10$                           | 47                 | 48                   |
| 5e         | RTI-129        | В         | Cl     | $CON(CH_3)_2$   | $1.38 \pm 0.1$                              | $942 \pm 48$                                | $1079 \pm 102$                        | 683                | 782                  |
| 5f         | RTI-215        | В         | Cl     | $CON(CH_2CH_3)_2$   | $5.48 \pm 0.19$                             | $5532 \pm 229$                              | $9433 \pm 770$                        | 1010               | 1733                 |
| 5g         | RTI-183        | В         | Cl     | CON(OCH <sub>3</sub> )CH <sub>3</sub>                               | $0.85\pm0.06$                               | $549 \pm 18.5$                              | $724 \pm 94$                          | 646                | 852                  |
| 5h         | RTI-147        | В         | Cl     | CONCH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>2</sub>  | $1.38\pm0.03$                               | $3950 \pm 72$                               | $12400 \pm 1207$                      | 2862               | 8985                 |
| <b>5</b> i | RTI-156        | В         | Cl     | CON(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>4</sub> CH <sub>2</sub>                  | $6.95 \pm 1.21$                             | $1752 \pm 202$                              | $3470 \pm 226$                        | 252                | 499                  |
| 5j         | RTI-198        | В         | Cl     | CONCHOCHOCHO  | $6.57\pm0.67$                               | $990 \pm 4.8$                               | $814\pm57$                            | 151                | 124                  |
| 5k         | RTI-208        | В         | Cl     | CONOCH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>2</sub>                 | $1.47\pm0.13$                               | $1083\pm76$                                 | $2470\pm56$                           | 736                | 1680                 |
| 51         | RTI-214        | В         | Cl     | CONCH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> OCH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> | $2.90\pm0.3$                                | $8545\pm206$                                | $88769 \pm 1855$                      | 2946               | 30610                |
| 5m         | <b>RTI-226</b> | в         | Cl     | CON(CH <sub>3</sub> )C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>5</sub>                  | $45.5\pm3$                                  | $2202 \pm 495$                              | $23610 \pm 2128$                      | 48                 | 519                  |
| <b>5n</b>  | RTI-133        | В         | $CH_3$ | CONH <sub>2</sub>   | $41.8\pm2.45$                               | $4398 \pm 271$                              | $6371\pm374$                          | 105                | 152                  |
| 50         | RTI-221        | в         | $CH_3$ | $CON(CH_2CH_3)_2$   | $24.7 \pm 1.93$                             | $6222 \pm 729$                              | $33928 \pm 2192$                      | 252                | 1373                 |
| 5p         | RTI-186        | в         | $CH_3$ | CON(OCH <sub>3</sub> )CH <sub>3</sub>                               | $2.55 \pm 0.43$                             | $442 \pm 26$                                | $3402\pm353$                          | 173                | 1334                 |
| 5q         | RTI-222        | В         | $CH_3$ | CONCH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> OCH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> | $11.7\pm0.87$                               | $23601 \pm 1156$                            | >100000                               | 2017               | >8547                |
| 5 <b>r</b> | RTI-229        | В         | I      | CONCH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>2</sub>  | $0.37\pm0.04$                               | $991 \pm 20.9$                              | $1728\pm39.3$                         | 2678               | 4670                 |
| <b>5</b> s | RTI-228        | в         | I      | CON(OCH <sub>3</sub> )CH <sub>3</sub>                               | $1.08\pm0.15$                               | $103\pm6.2$                                 | $73.9\pm8.1$                          | 95                 | 68                   |
| 5t         | <b>RTI-227</b> | в         | I      |   | $0.75\pm0.02$                               | $357 \pm 42$                                | $130 \pm 15.8$                        | 476                | 173                  |
| 0          |                |           |        | CONOCH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>2</sub>                 | 759   41.9                                  | 0001   000                                  | 19795 - 1954                          | <b>F</b> 0         | 10                   |
| 08         | RT1-128        | A         |        |   | $753 \pm 41.3$<br>197 + 6.96                | $3961 \pm 229$<br>$7900 \pm 159$            | $13720 \pm 1200$<br>$149710 \pm 9954$ | 0.3<br>E0          | 10                   |
| 0D<br>6 a  | RT1-100        | A         |        |   | $121 \pm 0.30$                              | (329 ± 106<br>2025 ± 266                    | $143(13 \pm 0004)$                    | 00<br>66           | 1131                 |
| 00         | R11-192        | A         |        | CONICCH3/CH3  | $00 \pm 0.4$                                | 3930 ± 200                                  | $20102 \pm 2000$                      | 00                 | 409                  |
| oa         | RT1-00         | A         |        | CONHCH <sub>3</sub>   | $2424 \pm 110$                              | $4213 \pm 200$                              | $44790 \pm 2105$                      | 1.7                | 18                   |

<sup>a</sup> Data are mean ± standard error of three or four experiments performed in triplicate. <sup>b</sup> NE/DA and 5-HT/DA are ratios of IC<sub>50</sub> values.

|               |              |                        | $K_{ m i}({ m nM})^d$  |                          |                          |                            |
|---------------|--------------|------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|
|               | compd        | DA uptake <sup>a</sup> | NE uptake <sup>b</sup> | 5-HT uptake <sup>c</sup> | NE/DA <sup>e</sup> ratio | 5-HT/DA <sup>e</sup> ratio |
| 1 <b>a</b>    | cocaine      | $241.30 \pm 18.01$     | $160.55 \pm 14.81$     | $112.11 \pm 1.91$        | 0.69                     | 0.46                       |
| 2a            | WIN 35,065-2 | $49.80 \pm 2.25$       | $37.20\pm5.23$         | $172.79 \pm 12.91$       | 0.75                     | 3.47                       |
| 2i            | WIN 35,428   | $22.95 \pm 0.45$       | $38.59\pm9.93$         | $100.56 \pm 13.02$       | 1.68                     | 4.38                       |
| 2b            | RTI-32       | $7.02\pm0.30$          | $8.42 \pm 1.53$        | $19.38\pm0.65$           | 1.20                     | 2.76                       |
| <b>2</b> c    | RTI-31       | $3.68\pm0.09$          | $5.86\pm0.67$          | $5.00\pm0.05$            | 1.59                     | 1.36                       |
| 2 <b>d</b>    | RTI-55       | $1.96\pm0.09$          | $7.51\pm0.82$          | $1.74\pm0.23$            | 3.83                     | 0.89                       |
| <b>4</b> c    | RTI-117      | $15.31\pm2.08$         | $73.43 \pm 11.58$      | $917.11 \pm 54.20$       | 4.80                     | 59.90                      |
| <b>4h</b>     | RTI-120      | $9.13\pm0.79$          | $277.66 \pm 23.43$     | $1537.14 \pm 101.43$     | 30.41                    | 168.36                     |
| <b>4n</b>     | RTI-114      | $6.04\pm0.31$          | $250.32\pm0.93$        | $128.62 \pm 15.55$       | 3.36                     | 21.29                      |
| 4p            | RTI-113      | $5.25\pm0.76$          | $242.29 \pm 29.78$     | $390.68 \pm 34.26$       | 46.15                    | 74.42                      |
| $4\mathbf{w}$ | RTI-121      | $2.79\pm0.13$          | $41.22\pm3.00$         | $12.54\pm1.05$           | 14.77                    | 4.49                       |
| 4y            | RTI-122      | $6.85\pm0.93$          | $32.73 \pm 4.45$       | $51.64 \pm 6.23$         | 4.78                     | 7.54                       |

<sup>a</sup> Inhibition of [<sup>3</sup>H]dopamine. <sup>b</sup> Inhibition of [<sup>3</sup>H]norepinephrine. <sup>c</sup> Inhibition of [<sup>3</sup>H]serotonin. <sup>d</sup> Calculated using the Cheng and Prusoff equation, <sup>29</sup>  $K_m$  values for dopamine, norepinephrine, and serotonin uptake were 105, 19, and 49 nM, <sup>25</sup> respectively. The data  $\pm$  standard error represent the mean of three or four independent experiments, each performed in triplicate. <sup>e</sup> NE/DA and 5-HT/DA are ratios of  $K_i$  values. <sup>f</sup> This compound has been named  $\beta$ -CIT by RBI.

pound **6d** in Table 6) resulted in a significant loss of affinity for the DA transporter.<sup>10</sup> The results obtained with the primary and secondary amides (**5a-c**, **6a**, and **6d**) in the present investigations confirm and extend the previous observations. Thus, the primary amide **6a** is 8.5 times less potent than cocaine, and the  $2\beta$ -carboxamido-, N-methyl- $2\beta$ -carboxamido-, and N-meth-oxy- $2\beta$ -carboxamido- $3\beta$ -(4'-chlorophenyl)tropanes **5a**-**c**, respectively, are all approximately 10 times less potent than the parent,  $3\beta$ -(4'-chlorophenyl)tropane- $2\beta$ -carboxylic acid methyl ester (**2c**). The primary amide  $3\beta$ -(4'-methylphenyl)tropane- $2\beta$ -carboxamide (**5n**) is 24

times less potent than **2b**. Interestingly, potency could be restored to a secondary amide by an *N*-hydroxy-methyl substituent (**5d** has an  $IC_{50}$  value of 2.05).

In contrast to the decreased potency of primary and secondary amides, the tertiary N,N-dimethylamide analogue **6b** of cocaine is almost equipotent to cocaine (1**a**), and the N-methyl-N-methoxyamide **6c** (IC<sub>50</sub> 60 nM) is slightly more potent than cocaine (1**a**). In the series of  $3\beta$ -(4'-chlorophenyl)tropane- $2\beta$ -carboxamides, the tertiary N,N-dimethylamide **5e** and the pyrrolidine amide **5h**, both with IC<sub>50</sub> values of 1.38 nM, are almost as potent as the parent ester **2c** while the N-methylN-methoxyamide 5g, with an IC<sub>50</sub> of 0.85 nM, has the highest affinity for the DA transporter of all the amide analogues reported in this study and, in fact, is more potent than **2c**. The sensitivity of the system to steric effects is clearly manifested in the 4-5-fold loss in potency resulting from changing the size of the ring substituent of 5h from pyrrolidine to the larger piperidine or the smaller azetidine analogues (5i and 5j, respectively). Replacement of a methylene of amides 5h and 5i with an oxygen gives analogues 5k and 5l which retain high affinity for the DA transporter. The N-methyl-N-anilinoamide 5m possesses low affinity for the DA transporter. The  $3\beta$ -(4'-methylphenyl)tropane- $2\beta$ -N-methyl-N-methoxycarboxamide (**5p**) as well as all three amide analogues (5r-t) of  $3\beta$ -(4'-iodophenyl)tropane- $2\beta$ -carboxylic acid show high potency for the DA transporter.

As discussed above, replacement of the carbomethoxymethyl group in  $3\beta$ -(substituted phenyl)tropane-2 $\beta$ -carboxylic acid methyl esters by either an isopropyl or a phenyl group (4a-c, 4h, 4n, 4p, 4w, and4y) had only small effects on affinity at the DA transporter but caused large decreases in affinity for both the NE and 5-HT transporters, suggesting a highly specific interaction at the  $2\beta$ -position. A similar situation exists with the tertiary amide analogues. The potency of the tertiary amide analogues (6b and 6c) of cocaine is close to that of cocaine at the DA and NE transporters but is 137- and 27-fold less at the 5-HT transporter. Most importantly,  $3\beta$ -(4'-chlorophenyl)tropane- $2\beta$ -N-morpholinocarboxamide (51),  $3\beta$ -(4'-chlorophenyl)tropane- $2\beta$ -N-pyrrolidinocarboxamide (5h), and  $3\beta$ -(4'-iodophenyl)tropane- $2\beta$ -N-pyrrolidinocarboxamide (5r) all exhibit high selectivity for the DA transporter and thus may have important applications as biochemical probes for the DA transporter binding site. In addition, the iodine-125 and iodine-123 analogues of 5r are likely to be useful high-specific activity radioligands for the DA transporter.

The data given in Tables 3-6 were obtained in competitive binding assays using radioligands for the DA, NE, and 5-HT transporters. They provide information useful to the correlation of structure with binding potency. It has been demonstrated that the binding of cocaine to its site on the DA transporter leads to the inhibition of dopamine reuptake and, consequently, to its accumulation in the synaptic cleft, which, in turn, may be responsible for behaviors associated with cocaine addiction and abuse. Since the binding data provide relative rather than direct information regarding transport inhibition, we examined the effect of selected analogues in the inhibition of [3H]DA, [3H]NE, and [<sup>3</sup>H]5-HT uptake into synaptosomes (see Table 7). The results show highly significant correlations between the  $K_i$  values for uptake inhibition and the IC<sub>50</sub> values for the inhibition of radioligand binding, for all three transporters (Figure 1). High correlation coefficients are obtained for the DA and 5-HT plots (0.96 and 0.98, respectively); a somewhat less robust correlation is obtained for NE (0.85). Thus, it appears that the indirect measurement of the inhibition of uptake by determination of the binding potency provides useful functional information. Two compounds appear as outliers on the DA plot:  $3\beta$ -(4'-iodophenyl)tropanecar-



**Figure 1.** Inhibition of radioligand binding (IC<sub>50</sub>) vs inhibition of monoamine uptake ( $K_i$ ) at the DA (top panel), NE (middle panel), and 5-HT (bottom panel) transporters. The IC<sub>50</sub> data are from Tables 3 and 4, and the  $K_i$  values are from Table 7.

boxylic acid isopropyl ester (4w) and  $3\beta$ -(4'-iodophenyl)tropanecarboxylic acid methyl ester (2d).

As expected, the  $K_i$  values for uptake are different from the binding IC<sub>50</sub> values. Ratios of the  $K_i$  values for inhibition of [<sup>3</sup>H]dopamine uptake to the IC<sub>50</sub> values for inhibition of [<sup>3</sup>H]WIN 35,428 binding (shown ×10<sup>3</sup> in Table 8) vary from 1.6 (2i and 2d) to 6.5 (4w), with an average of 3.3. Excluding 4w since it is an outlier on the  $K_i$  vs IC<sub>50</sub> plot for DA (Figure 1) causes the range to narrow to 1.6–4.6 (4y), and the average becomes 3. Since 4w is more potent in inhibiting [<sup>3</sup>H]WIN 35,428 binding than [<sup>3</sup>H]dopamine uptake compared to other compounds tested, 4w may be a lead compound as a cocaine antagonist. Compounds which are more potent in inhibition of [<sup>3</sup>H]mazindol binding of the cocaine site

**Table 8.** Ratio of  $K_i$  for Inhibition of Monoamine Transport to IC<sub>50</sub> for Inhibition of Radioligand Binding by Cocaine Analogues

|               |              | $10^{3}K_{i}({ m nM})/{ m IC}_{50}({ m nM})^{lpha}$ |      |      |  |  |
|---------------|--------------|---|------|------|--|--|
|               | compd        | DA  | NE   | 5-HT |  |  |
| 1 <b>a</b>    | cocaine      | 2700  | 48.7 | 107  |  |  |
| 2a            | WIN 35,065-2 | 2165  | 40.4 | 88.1 |  |  |
| 2i            | WIN 35,428   | 1651  | 46.2 | 145  |  |  |
| 2b            | RTI-32       | 4105  | 140  | 80.8 |  |  |
| 2c            | RTI-31       | 3286  | 158  | 112  |  |  |
| 2d            | RTI-55       | 1556  | 207  | 414  |  |  |
| <b>4c</b>     | RTI-117      | 2374  | 38.1 | 151  |  |  |
| <b>4h</b>     | RTI-120      | 2801  | 4.7  | 62.8 |  |  |
| <b>4n</b>     | RTI-114      | 4314  | 321  | 91.6 |  |  |
| 4p            | RTI-113      | 2652  | 82   | 167  |  |  |
| $4\mathbf{w}$ | RTI-121      | 6488  | 14.4 | 188  |  |  |
| 4y            | RTI-122      | 4567  | 8.6  | 281  |  |  |

<sup>*a*</sup>  $K_i$  values from Table 7; IC<sub>50</sub> values from Tables 3 and 5.

at the DA transporter than DA transport have previously been reported.<sup>48</sup> However, all had low affinity and would therefore probably not be suitable as potential medications. By contrast, the analogue  $\mathbf{4w}$  has subnanomolar potency at the DA transporter as well as modest DA selectivity. Additional work is needed to evaluate this compound. Uptake/binding ratios for norepinephrine and serotonin are 1 order of magnitude smaller and vary more widely than the ratios for dopamine. This variation suggests that cocaine antagonism might be more readily obtained at the norepinephrine and serotonin transporters. However, such conclusions must be made with great caution since uptake and binding differences could be due in part to kinetic differences in the two different assays as the conditions for uptake measurement differ considerably from conditions for binding measurements.

### Conclusions

In general, potency at and selectivity for the DA transporter are important considerations for potential rehabilitation medications for cocaine abuse. Under our experimental conditions, although there is general rank-order agreement between inhibition of dopamine uptake and binding potencies, DA selectivity as indicated by NE/DA and 5-HT/DA ratios is 1 order of magnitude smaller in uptake assays than in binding assays.

Examination of the effects of replacement of the  $2\beta$ position of cocaine (1a),  $3\beta$ -phenyltropane- $2\beta$ -carboxylic acid methyl ester (2a), and analogues with other ester and amide groups on the binding affinities at the DA, NE, and 5-HT transporters leads to the following conclusions:

(1) Replacement of the carbomethoxymethyl group at the 2-position of cocaine (1a) or of  $3\beta$ -phenyltropane- $2\beta$ -carboxylic acid methyl ester (2a) and its analogues has only small effects on potency at the DA transporter; usually decreases of 20-45-fold are obtained for the NE and 5-HT transporters, respectively, relative to the relevant parent methyl ester.

(a) The phenyl (4b,h,p,y) and isopropyl (4a,c,n,w) ester analogues of each of the  $3\beta$ -(substituted phenyl)-tropane-2-carboxylic acids studied possess high selectivity for the DA transporter; the analogous phenyl (1e) and isopropyl (1d) ester analogues of cocaine have the highest DA selectivity among cocaine esters.

(b) The esters combining highest potency and selectivity for the DA transporter are  $3\beta$ -(4'-methylphenyl)-

and  $3\beta$ -(4'-chlorophenyl)tropane- $2\beta$ -carboxylic acid phenyl esters (**4h** and **4p**), respectively, and  $3\beta$ -(4'-chlorophenyl)- and  $3\beta$ -(4'-iodophenyl)tropane- $2\beta$ -carboxylic acid isopropyl esters (**4n** and **4w**).

(2) Replacement of the carbomethoxy group at the 2-position of cocaine (1a) or of  $3\beta$ -phenyltropane- $2\beta$ -carboxylic acid methyl ester (2a) and its analogues by a tertiary amide, particularly with oxygen-bearing substituents, leads to 33-fold increased potency at the DA transporter, relative to the parent methyl ester. The effects at the NE and 5-HT transporters are varied, with large decreases in potency dominating the picture.

(a) The N-pyrrolidine (**5h** and **5t**) and N,N-dimethyl (**5e**) analogues of  $3\beta$ -(substituted phenyl)tropane-2-carboxamide possess high affinity and selectivity for the DA transporter.

(b) The most potent analogue of **2a** is  $3\beta$ -(4'-iodophenyl)tropane- $2\beta$ -N-pyrrolidinocarboxamide (**5r**) and the most selective is  $3\beta$ -(4'-chlorophenyl)tropane- $2\beta$ -N-morpholinocarboxamide (**51**). The most potent analogue of **1a** is the N-methyl-N-methoxyamide **6c** and the most DA-selective cocaine amide derivative is the N,Ndimethylamide **6b**.

(3) Overall, the 5-HT transporter seems to be the most sensitive to substitution at the 2-position.

These conclusions provide a basis for the design of DA-selective ligands with optimized potency that may be useful as substitute medications for cocaine abuse. They may be tagged with radioisotopes and/or other groups for use as biochemical and/or pharmacological probes. Appropriate modifications could lead to compounds and conjugates useful in immunoassays and enzyme assays.

The strong correlations between the inhibition of radioligand binding and monoamine uptake suggests that it is unlikely that cocaine antagonists would be structurally similar or analogous to cocaine and to the  $3\beta$ -phenyltropane- $2\beta$ -carboxylic acid esters. A possible exception which may lead to other compounds is the isopropyl ester of  $3\beta$ -(4'-iodophenyl)tropane- $2\beta$ -carboxylic acid (4w), which requires further investigation.

### **Experimental Section**

Melting points were determined on a Thomas-Hoover capillary tube apparatus. All optical rotations were determined at the sodium D line using a Rudolph Research Autopol III Polarimeter (1 dm cell). NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker WM-250 or AM-500 spectrometer using tetramethylsilane as an internal standard; all were consistent with the structural assignments. Thin layer chromatography was carried out on Whatman silica gel 60 TLC plates using hexane $-Et_2O-Et_3N$  (10:9:1). Flash chromatography was conducted on silica gel 60 (230-400 mesh) using hexane- $Et_2O-Et_3N$  (30:9:1) as the eluent. Visualization was accomplished under UV or in an iodine chamber. Since all of the compounds described were prepared starting from natural cocaine, they are all optically active and have the absolute configuration of natural cocaine. Microanalyses were carried out by Atlantic Microlab, Inc. Cocaine was provided by the National Institute on Drug Abuse. [<sup>3</sup>H]- $3\beta$ -(p-Fluorophenyl)tropane- $2\beta$ -carboxylic acid methyl ester ([<sup>3</sup>H]WIN 35,428), and [<sup>3</sup>H]paroxetine were purchased from Dupont-New England Nuclear (Boston, MA), and [<sup>3</sup>H]nisoxetine was purchased from American Radiolabeled Chemicals, Inc. (St. Louis, MO).

General Procedure for  $3\beta$ -(substituted phenyl)tropane- $2\beta$ -carboxylic acids (3). A solution or a partial suspension of the corresponding ester in  $50\%/H_2O$  (25 mL/ mmol) was hydrolyzed by refluxing for 6 h. The clear solution was evaporated to dryness and the residue recrystallized from  $MeOH-Et_2O$ .

**3β-Phenyltropane-2β-carboxylic acid (3a) hydrochloride salt:** mp 274 °C (lit.<sup>30</sup> mp 273-274 °C).

**3\beta-(4'-Methylphenyl)tropane-2\beta-carboxylic acid (3b):** mp 115-116 °C;  $[\alpha]^{25}_{D}$ -104.99° (c 0.54, MeOH). Anal. Calcd for C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>21</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>: C, H, N.

**3\beta-(4'-Chlorophenyl)tropane-2\beta-carboxylic acid (3c):** mp 300-301 °C;  $[\alpha]^{25}_{D}$ -108.0° (*c* 0.10, MeOH). Anal. Calcd for C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>18</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>·0.25 H<sub>2</sub>O: C, H, N.

**3\beta-(4'-Iodophenyl)tropane-2\beta-carboxylic acid (3d):** mp 318-320 °C;  $[\alpha]^{25}_{D}$  -79.3° (c 0.55, MeOH). Anal. Calcd for  $C_{15}H_{18}NO_2$ : C, H, N.

General Procedure for the Synthesis of 3β-(Substituted phenyl)tropane-2β-carboxylic Acid and Benzoylecgonine Ester and Amide Analogues (4-6). To a solution of 1 mmol of the appropriate  $3\beta$ -(4'-substituted phenyl)tropane- $2\beta$ -carboxylic acid (2a-d) or benzoylecgonine<sup>26</sup> in 5 mL of CH<sub>2</sub>-Cl<sub>2</sub> was added dropwise with stirring under nitrogen 2.0 equiv of oxalyl chloride (2 M solution in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>). The resulting solution was stirred at room temperature for 1 h after evolution of gas had ceased. The solvent was removed in vacuo at room temperature and then at high vacuum to remove residual traces of oxalyl chloride. The resulting residue of acid chloride was suspended in 5 mL of CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> under nitrogen at 0 °C and treated with 3 equiv of the appropriate alcohol or phenol or with 2.0 equiv of the appropriate amine hydrochloride containing 4.0 equiv of triethylamine, 2.5 equiv of the amine free base, or an aqueous solution (concentrated NH<sub>4</sub>-OH or 50% solution of methylamine or dimethylamine). The mixture was stirred at room temperature overnight. The reaction mixture was basified with 3 N NaOH or concentrated NH<sub>4</sub>OH, the organic layer was separated, and the aqueous layer extracted with  $3 \times 10$  mL of CHCl<sub>3</sub>. The combined organic layers were dried (Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>) and filtered, and the solvent was removed in vacuo to give crude product. The esters and amides were purified by flash column chromatography or crystallization. The physical properties of the esters and amides are listed in Tables 1 and 2.

**N-3\beta-(4-Chlorophenyl)tropane-2\beta-N-(hydroxymethyl)carboxamide Hydrochloride (5d).** To a solution of 0.15 g (0.54 mmol) of amide **5a** in 6 mL of THF were added 1 mL of 37% aqueous formaldehyde and 0.03 g of K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>. The reaction mixture was heated with stirring at 50 °C for 2 h and then poured into water and 3 N NaOH. The aqueous layer was extracted with CHCl<sub>3</sub> and dried (Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>). Removal of solvent afforded 0.15 g (93%) of the product as free base, which was converted to the hydrochloride salt. Physical data are listed in Table 2.

**Binding Assays**. Inhibition of 0.5 nM [<sup>3</sup>H]WIN 35,428, 0.5 nM [<sup>3</sup>H]nisoxetine, and 0.2 nM [<sup>3</sup>H]paroxetine binding was carried out as described previously.<sup>28</sup>

[<sup>3</sup>H]Dopamine Uptake Assay. Striata were rapidly dissected from male Sprague-Dawley rats (Harlan Labs, Indianapolis, IN) and homogenized in ice-cold 0.32 M sucrose using a glass-Teflon homogenizer. The resulting homogenate was centrifuged for 10 min at 800g. The supernatant was decanted into another centrifuge tube and was centrifuged for 10 min at 20000g. The resulting pellet was resuspended in 0.32 M sucrose to a concentration of 15 mg/mL. Monoamine transport assays were conducted in modified Kreb's phosphate buffer (126 mM NaCl, 4.8 mM KCl, 1.3 mM CaCl<sub>2</sub>, 1.4 mM MgSO<sub>4</sub>, 16.0 mM sodium phosphate, pH = 7.4) containing 2 mg/mL dextrose and 0.2 mg/mL ascorbic acid. Each assay tube contained 1 mL of buffer containing 0.5 nM [3H]dopamine (Amersham, Arlington Heights, IL, specific activity 47 Ci/ inmol), 10  $\mu$ M pargyline, and 1.5 mg of crude striatal synaptosomes. Nonspecific uptake was measured using 1  $\mu M$ mazindol. The assay was initiated by the addition of tissue and incubated for 3 min at 30 °C. The reaction was terminated by the addition of 5 mL of ice-cold 0.32 M sucrose, followed by immediate filtration using GF/B filters soaked in 0.05% polyethylenimine. The filters were washed twice with 5 mL of 0.32 M sucrose, and radioactivity was counted using a Beckman 5200 liquid scintillation counter.

[<sup>3</sup>H]Norepinephrine Uptake Assay. The frontal cortex was utilized as a tissue source of the norepinephrine transporter. All assay conditions were similar to those utilized for the dopamine uptake assay except the tissue concentration was 10 mg/mL, the nonspecific uptake was measured using 1  $\mu$ M desipramine, and the final concentration of [<sup>3</sup>H]norepinephrine (New England Nuclear, Boston, MA, specific activity 56.9 Ci/ mmol) was 5 nM.

[<sup>3</sup>H]Serotonin Uptake Assay. The midbrain was utilized as a tissue source of the serotonin transporter. Again the assay conditions were identical to those utilized above with the following modifications: the tissue concentration was 1 mg/mL, the nonspecific uptake was measured using 1  $\mu$ M citalopram, and the final concentration of [<sup>3</sup>H]serotonin (New England Nuclear, Boston, MA, 27.4 Ci/mmol) was 5 nM.

**Correlation of Inhibition of Radioligand Binding and Monoamine Uptake Parameters** (Figure 1). Multiple linear regressions were performed using the Implot software from Graphplot.

**Acknowledgment.** This work was supported in part by the National Institute on Drug Abuse, grant number DA05477.

#### References

- Bergman, J.; Madras, B. K.; Johnson, S. E.; Spealman, R. D. Effects of cocaine and related drugs in nonhuman primates. III. Self-administration by squirrel monkeys. J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther. 1989, 219, 150-155.
- (2) Ritz, M. C.; Lamb, R. J.; Goldberg, S. R.; Kuhar, M. J. Cocaine receptors on dopamine transporters are related to self-administration of cocaine. *Science* 1987, 237, 1219-1223.
- (3) Carroll, F. I.; Lewin, A. H.; Boja, J. W.; Kuhar, M. J. Cocaine receptor: Biochemical characterization and structure-activity relationships for the dopamine transporter. J. Med. Chem. 1992, 35, 969-981.
- (4) Kuhar, M. J.; Ritz, M. C.; Boja, J. W. The dopamine hypothesis of the reinforcing properties of cocaine. *Trends Neurosci.* 1991, 14, 299-302.
- (5) Wise, R. A.; Bozarth, M. A. A psychomotor stimulant theory of addiction. Psychol. Rev. 1987, 94, 469-492.
- (6) Koob, G. F.; Bloom, F. E. Cellular and molecular mechanisms of drug dependence. Science 1988, 242, 715-723.
- (7) Robinson, T.; Barridge, K. C. The neural basis of drug craving: An incentive-sensitization theory of addiction. *Brain Res. Rev.* 1993, 18, 249-291.
- (8) Carroll, F. I.; Lewin, A. H.; Abraham, P.; Parham, K.; Boja, J. W.; Kuhar, M. J. Synthesis and ligand binding of cocaine isomers at the cocaine receptor. J. Med. Chem. 1991, 34, 883-886.
- (9) Carroll, F. I.; Gao, Y.; Rahman, M. A.; Abraham, P.; Lewin, A. H.; Boja, J. W.; Kuhar, M. J. Synthesis, ligand binding, QSAR, and CoMFA study of 3β-(p-substituted phenyl)tropan-2β-carboxylic acid methyl esters. J. Med. Chem. 1991, 34, 2719-2927.
- (10) Lewin, A. H.; Gao, Y.; Abraham, P.; Boja, J. W.; Kuhar, M. J.; Carroll, F. I. 2β-Substituted analogues of cocaine. Synthesis and inhibition of binding to the cocaine receptor. J. Med. Chem. 1992, 35, 135-140.
- (11) Abraham, P.; Pitner, J. B.; Lewin, A. H.; Boja, J. W.; Kuhar, M. J.; Carroll, F. I. N-Modified analogues of cocaine: Synthesis and inhibition of binding to the cocaine receptor. J. Med. Chem. 1992, 35, 141-144.
- (12) Reith, M. E. A.; Meisler, B. E.; Sershen, H.; Lajtha, A. Structural requirements for cocaine congeners to interact with dopamine and serotonin uptake sites in mouse brain and to induced stereotyped behavior. *Biochem. Pharmacol.* 1986, 35, 1123-1129.
- (13) Carroll, F. I.; Kuzemko, M. A.; Gao, Y.; Abraham, P.; Lewin, A. H.; Boja, J. W.; Kuhar, M. J. Synthesis and ligand binding of 3β-(3-substituted phenyl)- and 3β-(3,4-disubstituted phenyl)-tropane-2β-carboxylic acid methyl esters. *Med. Chem. Res.* 1992, 1, 382-387.
- (14) Carroll, F. I.; Mascarella, S. W.; Kuzemko, M. A.; Gao, Y.; Abraham, P.; Lewin, A. H.; Boja, J. W.; Kuhar, M. J. Synthesis, ligand binding, and QSAR (CoMFA and classical) study of 3β-(3'.substituted phenyl)-, 3β-(4'.substituted phenyl)-, and 3β-(3'.4'disubstituted phenyl)tropane-2β-carboxylic acid methyl esters. J. Med. Chem. 1994, 37, 2865-2879.
- (15) Davies, H. M. L.; Saikali, E.; Huby, N. J.; Gilliat, V. J.; Matasi, J. J.; Sexton, T.; Childers, S. R. Synthesis of 3β-Acyl-3β-aryl-8azabicyclo[3.2.1]octanes and their binding affinities at dopamine and serotonin transport sites in rat striatum and frontal cortex. J. Med. Chem. 1994, 37, 1662–1688.

- (16) Kozikowski, A. P.; Roberti, M.; Xiang, L.; Bergmann, J. S.; Callahan, P. M.; Cunningham, K. A.; Johnson, K. M. Structure-activity relationship studies of cocaine: Replacement of the C-2 ester group by vinyl argues against H-bonding and provides an esterase-resistant, high-affinity cocaine analogue. J. Med. Chem. 1992, 35, 4764–4766. (17) Boja, J. W.; Kuhar, M. J.; Kopajtic, T.; Yang, E.; Abraham, P.;
- Lewin, A. H.; Carroll, F. I. Secondary amine analogues of  $3\beta$ -(4'-substituted phenyl)tropane- $2\beta$ -carboxylic acid esters and N-norcocaine exhibit enhanced affinity for serotonin and nore-pinephrine transporters. J. Med. Chem. 1994, 37, 1220-1223.
   Milius, R. A.; Saha, J. K.; Madras, B. K.; Neumeyer, J. L.
- Synthesis and receptor binding of N-substituted tropane deriva-Symmetries and receptor binding of N-substituted tropane derivatives. High-affinity ligands for the cocaine receptor. J. Med. Chem. 1991, 34, 1728-1731.
   Meltzer, P. C.; Liang, A. Y.; Brownell, A.-L.; Elmaleh, D. R.; Madras, B. K. Substituted 3-phenyltropane analogues of co-
- (19)caine: Synthesis, inhibition of binding at cocaine recognition sites, and positron emission tomography imaging. J. Med. Chem. 1993, 36, 855-862.
- (20) Koe, B. K. Molecular geometry of inhibitors of the uptake of catecholamines and serotonin in synaptosomal preparations of rat brain. J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther. 1976, 199, 649-661
- (21) Ritz, M. C.; Cone, E. J.; Kuhar, M. J. Cocaine inhibition of ligand binding at dopamine, norepinephrine and serotonin transporters: A structure-activity study. Life Sci. 1990, 46, 635-645.
- (22) Spealman, R. D. Modification of behavioral effects of cocaine by selective serotonin and dopamine uptake inhibitors in squirrel monkeys. Psychopharmacology 1993, 112, 93-99.
- (23) Carroll, F. I.; Abraham, P.; Lewin, A. H.; Parham, K. A.; Boja, J. W.; Kuhar, M. J. Isopropyl and phenyl esters of  $3\beta$ -(4substituted phenyl)tropan- $2\beta$ -carboxylic acids. Potent and selective compounds for the dopamine transporter. J. Med. Chem. 1992, 35, 2497-2500.
- Carroll, F. I.; Kotian, P.; Gray, J. L.; Abraham, P.; Kuzemko, M. A.; Lewin, A. H.; Boja, J. W.; Kuhar, M. J.  $3\beta$ -(4'-Chlorophe-(24)nyl)tropan- $2\beta$ -carboxamides and cocaine amide analogues: new high affinity and selective compounds for the dopamine transporter. Med. Chem. Res. 1993, 3, 468-472. (25) Boja, J. W.; McNeill, R. M.; Lewin, A. H.; Abraham, P.; Carroll,
- F. I.; Kuhar, M. J. Selective dopamine transporter inhibition by cocaine analogues. NeuroReport 1992, 3, 984-986.
- (26) Findlay, S. P. The three-dimensional structure of the cocaines. Part I. Cocaine and pseudococaine. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1954, 76, 2855-2862.
- Carroll, F. I.; Gao, Y.; Abraham, P.; Lewin, A. H.; Lew, R.; Patel, (27)A.; Boja, J. W.; Kuhar, M. J. Probes for the cocaine receptor. Potentially irreversible ligands for the dopamine transporter.
- J. Med. Chem. 1992, 35, 1813-1817. (28) Carroll, F. I.; Gray, J. L.; Abraham, P.; Kuzemko, M. A.; Lewin, A. H.; Boja, J. W.; Kuhar, M. J. 3-Aryl-2-(3'-substituted-1',2',4'oxadiazole-5'-yl)tropane analogues of cocaine: Affinities at the cocaine binding site at the dopamine, serotonin, and norepinephrine transporters. J. Med. Chem. 1993, 36, 2886-2890.
  (29) Cheng, Y.-C.; Prusoff, W. H. Relationship between the inhibition
- constant  $(K_i)$  and the concentration of inhibitor which cause 50 percent inhibition  $(I_{50})$  of an enzyme reaction. Biochem. Pharmacol. 1973, 22, 3099-3108.
- (30) Clarke, R. L.; Daum, S. J.; Gambino, A. J.; Aceto, M. D.; Pearl, J.; Levitt, M.; Cumiskey, W. R.; Bogado, E. F. Compounds affecting the central nervous system. 4. 3β-Phenyltropane-2-carboxylic esters and analogues. J. Med. Chem. 1973, 16, 1260-1267
- (31) Cline, E. J.; Scheffel, U.; Boja, J. W.; Mitchell, W. M.; Carroll, F. I.; Abraham, P.; Lewin, A. H.; Kuhar, M. J. In vivo binding of [<sup>125</sup>I]RTI-55 to dopamine transporters: Pharmacology and regional distribution with autoradiography. Synapse 1992, 12, 37 - 46
- 37-46.
  (32) Boja, J. W.; Mitchell, W. M.; Patel, A.; Kopajtic, T. A.; Carroll, F. I.; Lewin, A. H.; Abraham, P.; Kuhar, M. J. High affinity binding of [<sup>125</sup>1]RTI-55 to dopamine and serotonin transporters in rat brain. Synapse 1992, 12, 27-36.
  (33) Scheffel, U.; Dannals, R. F.; Cline, E. J.; Ricaurte, G. A.; Carroll, F. I.; Abraham, P.; Lewin, A. H.; Kuhar, M. J. [<sup>123/125</sup>1]RTI-55, or in visual ball for the computing immemettor for series 1992, 11
- an in vivo label for the serotonin transporter. Synapse 1992, 11, 134-139.

- (34) Little, K. Y.; Kirkman, J. A.; Carroll, F. I.; Breese, G. R.; Duncan, G. E. [125]]RTI-55 binding to cocaine-sensitive dopaminergic and serotonergic uptake sites in the human brain. J. Neurochem. 1993, 61, 1996-2006.
- (35) Rothman, R. B.; Cadet, J.; Akunne, H.; Silverthorn, M.; Baumann, M.; Carroll, F. I.; Rice, K.; de Costa, B.; Partilla, J.; Wang, J.-B.; Uhl, G.; Glowa, J.; Dersch, C. Studies of the Biogenic Amine Transporters. IV. Demonstration of a Multiplicity of Binding Sites in Rat Caudate Membranes for the Cocaine Analog [1251]RTI-55. J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther. 1994, 270, 296-309.
- (36) Shaya, E. K.; Scheffel, U.; Dannals, R. F.; Ricaurte, G. A.; Carroll, F. I.; Wagner, H. N., Jr.; Kuhar, M. J.; Wong, D. F. In vivo imaging of dopamine reuptake sites in the primate brain using single photon emission computer tomography (SPECT) and iodine-123 labeled RTI-55. Synapse 1992, 10, 169–172.
- (37) Wong, D. W.; Yung, B.; Dannals, R. F.; Shaya, E. S.; Ravert, H. T.; Chen, C. A.; Chan, B.; Folio, T.; Scheffel, U.; Ricaurte, G.; Neumeyer, J. L.; Wagner, H. N., Jr.; Kuhar, M. J. In vivo imaging of baboon and human dopamine transporters by positron emission tomography using [11C]WIN 35,428. Synapse 1993, 15, 130 - 142.
- (38) Innis, R. B.; Seibyl, J. P.; Scanley, B. E.; Laruelle, M.; Abi-Dargham, A.; Wallace, E.; Baldwin, R. M.; Zea-Ponce, Y.; Zoghbi, S.; Wang, S.; Gao, Y.; Neumeyer, J. L.; Charney, D. S.; Hoffer, P. B.; Marek, K. L. Single photon emission computed tomographic imaging demonstrates loss of striatal dopamine transporters in Parkinson disease. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 1993, 0, 11965-11969.
- (39) Brucke, T.; Kornhuber, J.; Angelberger, P.; Asenbaum, S.; Frassine, H.; Podreka, I. SPECT imaging of dopamine and serotonin transporters with  $[^{123}I]\beta$ -CIT. Binding kinetics in the human brain. J. Neural Transmission 1993, 54, 137-148
- (40) Kuikka, J. T.; Bergstrom, K. A.; Ahonen, A.; Lansimies, E. The dosimetry of iodine-123 labelled  $2\beta$ -carbomethoxy- $3\beta$ -(4-iodophenyl)tropane. Eur. J. Nucl. Med. 1994, 21, 53-56.
- (41) Kuikka, J. T.; Bergstrom, K. A.; Vanninen, E.; Laulumaa, V.; Hartikainen, P.; Lansimies, E. Initial experience with singlephoton emission tomography using iodine-123-labelled  $2\beta$ -carbomethoxy- $3\beta$ -(4-iodophenyl)tropane in human brain. Eur. J. Nucl. Med. 1994, 20, 783-786.
- (42) Kaufman, M. J.; Madras, B. K. Distribution of cocaine recognition sites in monkey brain: Ex vivo autoradiography with [3H]-CFT and [125]]RTI-55. Synapse 1992, 12, 99-111.
- (43) Farde, L.; Halldin, C.; Muller, L.; Suhara, T.; Karlsson, P.; Hall, H. PET study of  $[^{11}C]\beta$ -CIT binding to monoamine transporters in the monkey and human brain. Synapse 1994, 16, 93-103.
- (44) Frost, J. J.; Rosier, A. J.; Reich, S. G.; Smith, J. S.; Ehlers, M. D.; Snyder, S. H.; Ravert, H. T.; Dannals, R. F. Positron emission tomographic imaging of the dopamine transporter with <sup>11</sup>C-WIN 35,428 reveals marked declines in mild Parkinson's disease. Ann. Neurol. 1993, 34, 423-431.
- (45) Scheffel, U.; Dannals, R. F.; Wong, D. F.; Yokoi, F.; Carroll, F. I.; Kuhar, M. J. Dopamine transporter imaging with novel, selective cocaine analogues. NeuroReport 1992, 3, 969-972.
- (46) Boja, J. W.; Cadet, J. L.; Kopajtic, T. A.; Lever, J.; Seltzman, H. H.; Wyrick, C. D.; Lewin, A. H.; Abraham, P.; Carroll, F. I. Selective labeling of the dopamine transporter by the high affinity ligand  $[125I]3\beta$ -(iodophenyl)tropan- $2\beta$ -carboxylic acid isopropyl ester. Mol. Pharmacol., submitted.
- (47) Gandelman, M. S.; Scanley, B. E.; Al-Tikriti, M. S.; Laruelle, M.; Zea-Ponce, Y.; Baldwin, R. M.; Zoghbi, S. S.; Charney, D. S.; Neumeyer, J. L.; Innis, R. B. Comparison of two I-123 labeled SPECT probes for the dopamine transporter in non-human primate brain. J. Nucl. Med. 1994, 35, 201P.
- (48) Simoni, D.; Stoelwinder, J.; Kozikowski, A. P.; Johnson, K. M.; Bergmann, J. S.; Ball, R. G. Methoxylation of cocaine reduces binding affinity and produces compounds of differential binding and dopamine uptake inhibitory activity: Discovery of a weak cocaine "antagonist". J. Med. Chem. 1993, 36, 3975-3977.

JM9405654